

Poster presentation

End-tidal CO₂ in mechanical versus conventional CPR

Martin Bille Henriksen* and Jacob Steinmetz

Address: Department of Anaesthesia, HOC 4231, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

Email: Martin Bille Henriksen* - mbh1979@hotmail.com

* Corresponding author

from Danish Society for Emergency Medicine: Research Symposium 2009
Copenhagen, Denmark. 26 April 2009

Published: 20 August 2009

Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine 2009, 17(Suppl 2):P1 doi:10.1186/1757-7241-17-S2-P1

This abstract is available from: <http://www.sjtem.com/content/17/S2/P1>

© 2009 Henriksen and Steinmetz; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Introduction

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) generally has a poor prognosis. The development and use of a mechanical chest compression device has been suggested as a measure to achieve sufficient and continuous cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). The mechanical chest compression device (Autopulse®) consists of a battery-driven board with a band attached that applies a 20% anterior-posterior compression of the patient's thorax at a frequency of 80 per minute. The aim of this study was to compare patients treated with the mechanical chest compression device (Autopulse®) with patients treated with conventional CPR. End-tidal CO₂ (ETCO₂) was used as a qualitative measure of circulation. We hypothesized that patients treated with Autopulse® had a higher ETCO₂.

Methods

The study was conducted as a retrospective study. The patients included had OHCA and were treated by the mobile emergency care unit in Copenhagen in 2007. Only intubated patients with at least one registered ETCO₂ value were included. The treating physician prospectively recorded data in a database. If patients had more than one CO₂ value registered we analyzed both their median and maximum CO₂ values. The two groups were compared using the mean values (standard deviation) of either the median or max CO₂. Mann-Whitney rank sum test was used for statistical analysis.

Results

In total, 491 patients had cardiac arrest, of those 158 where intubated and had at least one CO₂-value. 91(67,6%) patients with a mean age of 63 (15.3) were treated with Autopulse®, and 67 (42,4%) aged 64.7 (17.4)

with conventional CPR. The mean values of both the median and the max CO₂ did not differ between the two groups: 4,4 (2,0) kPa vs. 4,8 (2,0) kPa (p = 0.63) and max CO₂ 4,9 (2,2) kPa vs. 5,3 (2,4) kPa (p = 0.89), respectively.

Conclusion

We were not able to detect a significant difference between Autopulse® and conventional CPR in the amount of CO₂ expired. However, the study has multiple weaknesses and further investigations are proposed. Whether or not Autopulse® should be preferred in daily use depends on survival and neurological outcome in future studies.